arXiv:1610.08421v1 [quant-ph] 26 Oct 2016

Indistinguishable quantum walks on graphs relative to a bipartite quantum walker

Phillip R. Dukes

University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, Brownsville, TX 78520, USA
26 October 2016

E-mail: phillip.dukes@utrgv.edu

Abstract. A distinguishability operator is defined for the continuous-time quantum walk (CTQW) of a bipartite quantum walker on two simply connected graphs, $W_{G_i,G_j} = U_{G_i}(t) \otimes U_{G_j}(t') - U_{G_j}(t') \otimes U_{G_i}(t)$, where $U_{G_i}(t)$ is the unitary CTQW operator for a labeled graph G_i over a time interval t. The null space of W_{G_i,G_j} defines the vector space of initial bipartite states whose time development is either constant or only dependent on $t+t'$ and is invariant to which quantum walker subsystem goes with each graph. The set of null spaces corresponding with a set of W_{G_i,G_j} have interesting relations as subspaces, intersections between subspaces, and subspaces of intersections. These relations are depicted as Euler diagrams for labeled graphs of three and four vertices.

Keywords: Continuous-time quantum walk; Simply connected graph; Operator null space.

1. Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simply connected and undirected labeled graph, where V is a set of n vertices and E is a set of connecting edges. The adjacency matrix A and degree matrix D which describe the graph are defined as follows:

$$A_{j,k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there is an edge connecting vertices } j \text{ and } k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{1a}$$

$$D_{j,k} = \begin{cases} deg(v_j) & \text{if } j = k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{1b}$$

where $deg(v_j)$ is the degree of vertex v_j.

Generally, the unitary CTQW operator $U_G(t)$ is defined in terms of the Laplacian matrix L of the graph G. [1, 2]

$$L = D - A \tag{2a}$$

$$U_G(t) = e^{-itL}. \tag{2b}$$

The quantum walker is described by a time-dependent quantum state $|\phi(t)\rangle$. The initial state vector $|\phi(0)\rangle$ is an ordered set of components, each component corresponding to the initial amplitude at each vertex of the graph (we will label each vertex starting with 0)

$$|\phi(0)\rangle = (\phi_0(0), \phi_1(0), \phi_2(0), ..., \phi_{n-1}(0)) \tag{3}$$

such that

$$\langle\phi(0)|\phi(0)\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\phi_j(0)|^2 = 1. \tag{4}$$

The time development of the quantum walk then becomes

$$U_G(t)|\phi(0)\rangle = |\phi(t)\rangle, \tag{5}$$

and the time-dependent amplitude at vertex j is

$$\phi_j(t) = \langle j|\phi(t)\rangle \tag{6}$$

such that after a time evolution of $t = t_f$ the probability for observing the walker at vertex j is $\langle\phi_j(t_f)|\phi_j(t_f)\rangle$.

2. The bipartite quantum walker on two graphs

For two quantum systems labeled A and B let $\mathcal{H}_A$ and $\mathcal{H}_B$ represent the Hilbert spaces for the state vectors $|\psi\rangle_A$ and $|\psi\rangle_B$ respectively. The Hilbert space for the composite system $A \cup B$ is then $\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ and the composite state vector becomes

$$|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{i,j} |i\rangle_A \otimes |j\rangle_B, \tag{7a}$$

$$\sum_{i,j} |\alpha_{i,j}|^2 = 1, \tag{7b}$$

where $|i\rangle_A$ and $|j\rangle_B$ are standard bases in $\mathcal{H}_A$ and $\mathcal{H}_B$ respectively.

A bipartite state vector which can be represented as $|\psi\rangle_{AB} = |\psi\rangle_A \otimes |\psi\rangle_B$ is called a separable state, otherwise it is called an entangled state. Unitary operations which are separable on the subsystems A and B are of the form $U_{AB} = U_A \otimes U_B$.

Given two graphs $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ the composite CTQW operator will be

$$U_{G_1,G_2}(t, t') = U_{G_1}(t) \otimes U_{G_2}(t'), \tag{8}$$

where the quantum walk on graph G_1 (G_2) is for a time t (t'). Accordingly, the time evolution of an initial bipartite walker will be

$$U_{G_1}(t) \otimes U_{G_2}(t')|\psi_0\rangle_{AB} = |\psi(t, t')\rangle_{AB}, \tag{9}$$

in which $U_{G_1}(t_1)$ acts on subsystem A and $U_{G_2}(t_2)$ acts on subsystem B and $|\alpha_{i,j}|^2$ from equations 7a and 7b is the joint probability for finding subsystems A and B at vertices i and j of graphs G_1 and G_2 respectively. The entropy of entanglement of $|\psi_0\rangle_{AB}$ is invariant to the locally separable operator $U_{G_1,G_2}(t, t')$ [3].

3. Indistinguishability relative to $|\psi_0\rangle_{AB}$

For the bipartite walker on two graphs G_i and G_j we define a non-unitary distinguishability operator

$$W_{G_i,G_j} = U_{G_i}(t) \otimes U_{G_j}(t') - U_{G_j}(t') \otimes U_{G_i}(t). \tag{10}$$

We are interested in the null space of W_{G_i,G_j}, i.e., the space of walker initial states which satisfy

$$W_{G_i,G_j}|\psi_0\rangle_{AB} = 0 \tag{11}$$

with t and t' greater than 0. Any initial state $|\psi_0\rangle_{AB}$ in the null space of W_{G_i,G_j} will have the property

$$U_{G_i}(t) \otimes U_{G_j}(t')|\psi_0\rangle_{AB} = U_{G_j}(t') \otimes U_{G_i}(t)|\psi_0\rangle_{AB} = |\psi(t+t')\rangle_{AB}. \tag{12}$$

We point out that for any two graphs G_i and G_j a trivial solution to Equation 11 (in addition to the zero vector) is the uniform amplitude $|\psi_0\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}(1,1,...,1)$ where d is the cardinality of $\mathcal{H}_{AB}$, $d = |V_{G_i}| \times |V_{G_j}|$.

We will consider the condition when $|V_{G_i}| = |V_{G_j}|$: the two simply connected graphs have equal order n. For the special case $i = j$ the two graphs are isomorphic and are labeled equivalently although the duration of the quantum walk may be different for each graph. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} \text{null}(W_{G_i,G_i}) &= \text{null}(U_{G_i}(t) \otimes I_n - I_n \otimes U_{G_i}(t)) = \text{null}(L_{G_i} \otimes I_n - I_n \otimes L_{G_i}) \\ &= \text{null}(I_n \otimes U_{G_i}(t') - U_{G_i}(t') \otimes I_n) = \text{null}(I_n \otimes L_{G_i} - L_{G_i} \otimes I_n), \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix and L_{G_i} is the Laplacian matrix of G_i .

Solutions to 13 are more easily obtained through analysis of an equivalent expression: [4, 5].

$$\begin{aligned} &(I_n \otimes L_{G_i} - L_{G_i} \otimes I_n)|\psi_0\rangle_{AB} = 0 \\ &\text{is equlivalent to} \\ &L_{G_i}X - XL_{G_i} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where X is a variable $n \times n$ matrix such that $|\psi_0\rangle_{AB} = \text{vec}(X)$, and every L_{G_i} is symmetric.

When $i \neq j$, G_i and G_j may be non-isomorphic graphs or isomorphic graphs with different labeling. In either case the null space of W_{G_i,G_j} will be

$$\text{null}\left(W_{G_i,G_j}\right) \subseteq \text{null}(W_{G_i,G_i}) \cap \text{null}\left(W_{G_j,G_j}\right), \tag{15}$$

from which $\text{null}\left(W_{G_i,G_j}\right)$ can be determined by inspection.

The null space of $W_{Kn,Kn}$, where Kn is the complete graph of order n, encompasses all other $\text{null}\left(W_{G_i,G_j}\right)$,

$$\text{null}\left(W_{G_i,G_j}\right) \subseteq \text{null}(W_{Kn,Kn}), \tag{16}$$

and

$$\text{null}\left(W_{G_i,G_i}\right) = \text{null}\left(W_{G_i,Kn}\right). \tag{17}$$

We find that it is convenient to work with and describe the null space of W_{G_i,G_j} in a non-orthonormal basis in which the simple sum of the basis vectors is the uniform vector $(1, 1, ..., 1)$. In the next section we illustrate how this works.

3.1. null $\left(W_{G_i,G_j}\right)$ *for graphs of order 3*

There are 4 different labeled graphs with order 3, see Fig. 1. By equations 14 through



Figure 1. All labeled simply connected graphs of order 3.

17 we obtain:

$$\underline{W_{G_1,G_1}} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{vec}\left(X\right) = & \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - x_4 - x_5, \\ & x_2 + x_3 - x_4, x_1 + x_3 - x_5, -x_3 + x_4 + x_5\} \\ \text{null basis:} & \{1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0\} \\ & \{0,1,0,0,0,1,1,0,0\} \\ & \{0,0,1,0,0,1,1,1,-1\} \\ & \{0,0,0,1,0,-1,-1,0,1\} \\ & \{0,0,0,0,1,-1,0,-1,1\} \end{cases} \tag{18a}$$

$$\underline{W_{G_2,G_2}, W_{G_2,G_1}} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{vec}\left(X\right) = & \{x_1, x_2, x_2, x_2, x_3, x_1 + x_2 - x_3, x_2, \\ & x_1 + x_2 - x_3, x_3\} \\ \text{null basis:} & \{1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0\} \\ & \{0,1,1,1,0,1,1,1,0\} \\ & \{0,0,0,0,1,-1,0,-1,1\} \end{cases} \tag{18b}$$

$$\underline{W_{G_3,G_3}, W_{G_3,G_1}} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{vec}\,(X) = & \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_2, x_1 - x_2 + x_3, x_2, x_3, x_2, x_1\} \\ \text{null basis:} & \{1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1\} \\ & \{0,1,0,1,-1,1,0,1,0\} \\ & \{0,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,0\} \end{cases} \tag{18c}$$

$$\underline{W_{G_4,G_4}, W_{G_4,G_1}} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{vec}\,(X) = & \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_2, x_1, x_3, x_3, x_3, x_1 + x_2 - x_3\} \\ \text{null basis:} & \{1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1\} \\ & \{0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1\} \\ & \{0,0,1,0,0,1,1,1,-1\} \end{cases} \tag{18d}$$

$$\underline{W_{G_2,G_3}, W_{G_2,G_4}, W_{G_3,G_4}} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{vec}\,(X) = & \{x_1, x_1, x_1, x_1, x_1, x_1, x_1, x_1, x_1\} \\ \text{null basis:} & \{1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1\} \end{cases} \tag{18e}$$

We find the null spaces of the operators W_{G_2,G_3}, W_{G_2,G_4}, and W_{G_3,G_4} in equation 18e according to equation 15

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{null}\,(W_{G_2,G_2}) \cap \text{null}\,(W_{G_3,G_3}) = \text{null}\,(W_{G_2,G_2}) \cap \text{null}\,(W_{G_4,G_4}) \\ &= \text{null}\,(W_{G_3,G_3}) \cap \text{null}\,(W_{G_4,G_4}) \\ &= \{\{0,1,1,1,0,1,1,1,0\}, \{1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1\}\}, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

from which we determine

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{null}\,(W_{G_2,G_3}) = \text{null}\,(W_{G_2,G_4}) = \text{null}\,(W_{G_3,G_4}) \\ &= \{1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1\} \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

by inspection.

These results and their interrelationships can be represented as an Euler diagram in which closed curves and intersecting zones represent a null space $\text{null}\left(W_{G_i,G_j}\right)$. As a mater of convenience, our Euler diagrams are non-area-proportional and wellformed up to labeling, sometimes having non-unique labeled curves [6] (see Fig. 2).

3.2. $\text{null}\left(W_{G_i,G_j}\right)$ *for graphs of order 4*

There are 38 different labeled simply connected graphs with order 4. When $i = j$, the null space of each W_{G_i,G_i} compose 32 distinct subspaces with double degeneracy among the 12 labeled path graphs (see Fig. 3). An Euler diagram illustrating the interrelationships of the subspaces enumerated in Fig. 3 is depicted in Fig. 4.

There are an additional $\binom{38}{2} = 703$ possible W_{G_i,G_j}, where $i \neq j$, giving a total of 741 cases. All 741 possible $\text{null}\left(W_{G_i,G_j}\right)$ comprise a total of 50 distinct null spaces. A summary of the cardinality and degeneracy for each null space is given in Table 1.

4. Conclusion



Figure 2. Euler diagram of all null $\left(W_{G_i,G_j}\right)$ for simply connected graphs of order 3. Each subspace/zone is annotated by the applicable equation number above. The shaded zones are empty.



Figure 3. There are 38 labeled simply connected graphs G_i of order 4. Each null (W_{G_i,G_i}) compose 32 distinct subspaces with double degeneracy in the path graphs.



Figure 4. Euler diagram for the 32 enumerated null spaces of W_{G_i,G_i} in figure 3. The number of basis vectors spanning each null space is given in parentheses. (Only those intersections which are among the 32 distinct subspaces are shown.) Some labeled curves are not unique.

Zone	Degeneracy	Cardinality
1	1	10
2	2	6
3	2	6
4	2	6
5	2	6
6	2	6
7	2	6
8	2	6
9	2	6
10	2	6
11	2	6
12	2	6
13	2	6
14	2	6
15	7	4
16	7	4
17	7	4
18	7	4
19	7	4
20	7	4
21	5	4
22	5	4
23	5	4
24	5	4
25	5	4

Zone	Degeneracy	Cardinality
26	5	4
27	5	4
28	5	4
29	5	4
30	5	4
31	5	4
32	5	4
33	3	4
34	3	4
35	3	4
36	3	4
37	24	2
38	24	2
39	24	2
40	12	2
41	12	2
42	12	2
43	12	2
44	12	2
45	12	2
46	12	2
47	12	2
48	12	2
49	12	2
50	408	1

Table 1. The cardinality and degeneracy of the 50 distinct null spaces of W_{G_i,G_j} for all 38 labeled graphs of order 4.

References

[1] E. Farhi and S. Gutmann. Quantum computation and decision trees. *Phys. Rev. A*, 58:915–928, 1998.

[2] H. Gerhardt and J. Watrous. Continuous-time quantum walks on the symmetric group. In S. Arora, K. Jansen, J. Rolim, and A. Sahai, editors, *Approximation, Randomization, and Combinatorial Optimization.. Algorithms and Techniques*, volume 2764 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 290–301. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2003.

[3] V. Vedral, M.B. Plenio, M.A. Rippin, and P.L. Knight. Quantifying entanglement. *Phys. Rev. Lett*, 78:2275–2278, 1997.

[4] V. Simoncini. Computational methods for linear matrix equations. `http://www.dm.unibo.it/~simoncin/matrixeq.pdf`, March 2013. Survey article, Dept. of Mathematics, University of Bologna.

[5] N. Higham. Sylvester's influence on applied mathematics. `http://www.manchester.ac.uk/mims/eprints`, August 2014. Manchester Institute for Mathematical Sciences, The University of Manchester.

[6] G. Stapleton, L. Zhang, J. Howse, and P. Rodgers. Drawing euler diagrams with circles. In A.K. Goel, M. Jamnik, and N.H. Narayanan, editors, *6th International Conference on the Theory and Application of Diagrams*, pages 23–38. Springer-Verlag Berlin/Heidelberg, 2010.